

Looking Back, Looking Ahead: The CIESM Atlas, Crustaceans

Bella S. Galil¹*, Carlo Frogli² and Pierre Noël³

¹National Institute of Oceanography, Israel Oceanographic & Limnological research, Haifa 31080, Israel

²C.N.R. Istituto di Scienze Marine, u.o.s. Ancona, Largo Fiera della Pesca, 60125 Ancona, Italy

³SPN & DMPA, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, 43 rue Buffon - CP 48, F-75231 Paris cedex 05, France

E-mail: bella@ocean.org.il (BSG), c.frogli@alice.it (CF), pierre.noel@mnhn.fr (PN)

*Corresponding author

Received: 1 September 2014 / Accepted: 17 November 2014 / Published online: 26 January 2015

Handling editor: Stelios Katsanevakis

Abstract

The 'CIESM Atlas of exotic species in the Mediterranean' galvanized attention and garnered recognition for the unique situation concerning bioinvasions in the Mediterranean Sea, and promoted documentation of the full extent of the diversity of 'exotic' species. The volume comprising decapod and stomatopod crustaceans was published in 2002, and has been accompanied by a popular digital edition, updated in 2008, listing 70 species, with an average of 7400 visits annually. Additional species have been recorded in recent years, few more were recorded prior of the temporal limits adopted in the Atlas. The full list of decapods (89) and stomatopods (2) recorded as 'exotic' in the Mediterranean Sea since the 1870 is presented. Recommendations as to terminology, taxonomic expansion and usage of innovative information and communication technologies are offered. The 'Atlas', intended to allow non-specialists to recognize the 'exotic' species recorded in the Mediterranean Sea, is the only open source for data focusing exclusively on the bioinvasion of the Mediterranean – a valued and irreplaceable resource.

Key words: CIESM Atlas, 'exotic' species, Mediterranean Sea, Decapoda, Stomatopoda

Introduction

In the late 1990s the 'Mediterranean Science Commission' (CIESM) undertook the challenge of assembling and validating many thousands of records of 'exotic' species in the Mediterranean Sea, and presenting them in a standardized, scientifically robust and user-friendly format. This pioneering endeavor resulted in the publication of the first 'Atlas' of 'exotic' species in the Mediterranean (<http://www.ciesm.org/online/atlas/>) – in fact, the first of its kind worldwide. The first three volumes of the 'Atlas' (a fourth is in late stages of production) galvanized attention and garnered recognition for the unique situation concerning bioinvasions in the Mediterranean Sea, promoted documentation of the full extent of the diversity of 'exotic' species, and provided information for management and conservation policies. Volume 2 (Crustaceans) was cited in

over 130 scientific publications (*vide* Google Scholar, viewed August, 2014).

The volume comprising decapod and stomatopod crustaceans was published in 2002 (Galil et al. 2002). Recognition that crustaceans had been introduced into the Mediterranean from other parts of the world preceded that volume by more than a century. Already Darwin (1854, pp 162–163) remarked "... it should not be overlooked, that those species, as *Balanus tintinnabulum*, *amphitrite*, *improvises* (accepted today as *Megabalanus tintinnabulum* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Amphibalanus amphitrite* (Darwin, 1854), *A. improvisus* (Darwin, 1854) ... which seem to range over nearly the whole world ... are species which are habitually attached to ships, and which could hardly fail to be widely transported". In 1873 the tri-masted *Karikal* arrived at Marseille from India carrying on its flanks a "...petite forêt d'êtres vivants était peuplée de Crustacés" (Catta 1876, p. 4),

including hundreds of specimens of *Plagusia squamosa*. Yet, though records of shipping- and mariculture introduced crustaceans kept appearing in the scientific literature, their number and impact were long considered negligible. It was the opening of the Suez Canal that focused scientific attention on the movement of marine species: the very first crustacean recognized straightway as an introduced species was the swimming crab *Portunus segnis* (as *Neptunus pelagicus*, Fox 1924), which had entered the Mediterranean through the Suez Canal - an augury of the invasion to come. By the 1980s the upper shelf communities along the Levant differed notably from communities elsewhere in the Mediterranean because of the thriving populations of species introduced through the Canal. While thermophilic species were pouring into the Levantine basin, vessel-borne species and commercially valuable species were introduced into ports and lagoons along the European coast of the Mediterranean.

Only recently were ‘exotic’ species in the Eastern Mediterranean “...considered, in a figurative sense “welcome guests” (Por 1978, p. 123), even, to have “biologically enriched” the sea (Tortonese 1973, p. 327). Oliverio and Taviani (2003, p. 314) thought that “...the Levant Basin was and still is biologically under-exploited by marine life a growing legion of benthic organisms found and is still finding a sort of vacuum where many ecological niches are available”. These notions have been greatly modified by the awareness that heavily invaded locales, such as the Levant coastline and coastal lagoons throughout the Mediterranean, are impacted by ‘propagule pressure’. This composite measure of the number of viable individuals, the discrete introduction events, their frequency and duration, is recognized as the primary determinant of invasion success and a significant predictor of both ‘invasiveness’ and ‘invasibility’ (Colautti et al. 2006).

Over the dozen years since the volume appeared in press and on CIESM website (<http://www.ciesm.org>), changes have occurred not only in the tally of species and their spread, but in our own understanding of the phenomenon. Over this period electronic resources on non-indigenous species have proliferated: worldwide more than 260 websites on NIS have been recently listed (GISIN 2014), their geographical scope varying from global, to regional, national, or confined to a smaller geographic locale. The Atlas is singular in serving as a tool for identification of the ‘exotic’ species recorded in the Mediterranean Sea.

The present tally

Eighty nine decapod and two stomatopod species have been recorded as ‘exotic’ in the Mediterranean Sea (Table 1). All are littoral and sublittoral benthic or demersal species. The data are presumably quite accurate, as decapods and stomatopods are relatively large and conspicuous species which are easily distinguished from the native biota, as recent records have demonstrated (Abelló and Hispano 2006; Mizzan and Vianello 2009; Ben Hadj Hamida-Ben Abdallah et al. 2009; Frogliani and Deval 2012; Rothman et al. 2013).

The date of the introduction of the *inoculum* is significant for management purposes and for the study of the patterns and processes of invasion, but is extremely difficult to ascertain for unintentional or undocumented intentional introductions. As research efforts vary greatly along the shores of the Mediterranean, and since even the better studied locales suffer temporal and taxonomical lacunae, we accept that the date of collection may be years behind the actual date of introduction. The number of ‘exotic’ species which had been recorded in the Mediterranean each decade over the past century increased in recent decades. The dramatic spike in the 1930s reflects the publication of the results of ‘The Cambridge Expedition to the Suez Canal’, whereas the rise in records in the last decade of the 20th century and since the turn of this century may reflect heightened scientific interest and rise in introductions. The number of ‘exotic’ species has doubled since the 1970s.

The ‘exotic’ biota shows strong geographical patterns: the largest number of established populations occur in the Levant and along North Africa (Research efforts vary greatly along the coasts of the Mediterranean; data are presumably most accurate for frequently sampled areas and where taxonomic expertise is readily available). Recently reported ‘single records’ may reveal a novel *inoculum* that given time may (or may not) establish a population; decades-old ‘single records’ bear witness to an unsuccessful introduction. Together with information on the deduced and ascertained prevailing pathways/vectors, this information distinguishes entry points and potential ‘bridgeheads’ of ‘exotic’ biota. It is not surprising that Turkey, Israel and Egypt, with the largest number of ‘exotic’ species, have also ‘single records’, both new and old, reflecting the intensity of the phenomenon.

Of the ninety one ‘exotic’ decapods and stomatopods recorded, 18 are considered to be ‘widespread’. Swimming crabs and penaeid prawns

Table 1. Updated alphabetical list of 'exotic' decapod and stomatopod crustaceans recorded in the Mediterranean Sea since 1870. Asterisk (*) denotes species that may have autonomously entered the Mediterranean Sea through the Strait of Gibraltar.

Species	Species
DECAPODA	
<i>Acantharctus posteli</i> (Forest, 1963)*	<i>Merhippolyte ancistrota</i> Crosnier & Forest, 1973*
<i>Actaea savignii</i> (H. Milne Edwards, 1834)	<i>Metapenaeopsis aegyptia</i> Galil & Golani, 1990
<i>Actumnus globulus</i> Heller, 1861	<i>Metapenaeopsis mogiensis consobrina</i> (Nobili, 1904)
<i>Alpheus edwardsii</i> (Audouin, 1826)	<i>Metapenaeus affinis</i> (H. Milne Edwards, 1837)
<i>Alpheus inopinatus</i> Holthuis & Gottlieb, 1958	<i>Metapenaeus monoceros</i> (Fabricius, 1798)
<i>Alpheus migrans</i> Lewinsohn & Holthuis, 1978	<i>Metapenaeus stebbingi</i> Nobili, 1904
<i>Alpheus rapacida</i> De Man, 1908	<i>Micippa thalia</i> (Herbst, 1803)
<i>Ashtoret lunaris</i> (Forsskål, 1775)	<i>Myra subgranulata</i> Kossmann, 1877
<i>Atergatis roseus</i> (Rüppell, 1830)	<i>Nikoides sibogae</i> De Man, 1918
<i>Calappa hepatica</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	<i>Notopus dorsipes</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)
<i>Calappa pelii</i> Herklots, 1851*	<i>Ogyrides mjoebergi</i> (Bals, 1921)
<i>Callinectes danae</i> Smith, 1869	<i>Palaemon macrodactylus</i> Rathbun, 1902
<i>Callinectes sapidus</i> Rathbun, 1896	<i>Palaemonella rotumana</i> (Borradaile, 1898)
<i>Carupa tenuipes</i> Dana, 1851	<i>Panulirus ornatus</i> (Fabricius, 1798)
<i>Charybdis feriata</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	<i>Paralithodes camtschaticus</i> (Tilesius, 1815)
<i>Charybdis hellerii</i> (A. Milne-Edwards, 1867)	<i>Penaeus aztecus</i> Ives, 1891
<i>Charybdis japonica</i> (A. Milne-Edwards, 1861)	<i>Penaeus hathor</i> (Burkenroad, 1959)
<i>Charybdis longicollis</i> Leene, 1938	<i>Penaeus japonicus</i> Bate, 1888
<i>Charybdis lucifera</i> (Fabricius, 1798)	<i>Penaeus merguensis</i> De Man, 1888
<i>Coleusia signata</i> (Paul'son, 1875)	<i>Penaeus pulchricaudatus</i> Stebbing, 1914
<i>Cryptosoma cristatum</i> Brullé, 1837*	<i>Penaeus semisulcatus</i> De Haan, 1844
<i>Cuapetes calmani</i> (Tattersall, 1921)	<i>Penaeus subtilis</i> (Pérez Farfante, 1967)
<i>Daira perlata</i> (Herbst, 1790)	<i>Percnon gibbesi</i> (H. Milne Edwards, 1853)*
<i>Dorippe quadridens</i> (Fabricius, 1793)	<i>Pilumnopus vauquelini</i> (Audouin, 1826)
<i>Dyspanopeus sayi</i> (Smith, 1869)	<i>Pilumnus minutus</i> De Haan, 1835
<i>Elamena mathoei</i> (Demarest, 1823)	<i>Plagusia squamosa</i> (Herbst, 1790)
<i>Eriocheir sinensis</i> H. Milne Edwards, 1853	<i>Portunus sanguinolentus</i> (Herbst, 1783)
<i>Eucrate crenata</i> (De Haan, 1835)	<i>Portunus segnis</i> (Forsskål, 1775)
<i>Eurycarcinus integrifrons</i> De Man, 1879	<i>Processa macrodactyla</i> Holthuis, 1952*
<i>Glabropilumnus laevis</i> (Dana, 1852)	<i>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</i> (Gould, 1841)
<i>Gonioinfradens paucidentatus</i> (A. Milne-Edwards, 1861)	<i>Rimapenaeus similis</i> (Smith, 1885)
<i>Grapsus granulatus</i> H. Milne Edwards, 1853	<i>Saron marmoratus</i> (Olivier, 1811)
<i>Guinusia chabrus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	<i>Scyllarus caparti</i> Holthuis, 1952
<i>Halimede tyche</i> (Herbst, 1801)	<i>Solenocera crassicornis</i> (H. Milne Edwards, 1837)
<i>Hemigrapsus sanguineus</i> (De Haan, 1835)	<i>Sphaerozium nitidus</i> Stimpson, 1858
<i>Herbstia nitida</i> Manning & Holthuis, 1981	<i>Sternodromia spinirostris</i> (Miers, 1881)
<i>Hyastenus hilgendorfi</i> De Man, 1887	<i>Thalamita gloriensis</i> Crosnier, 1962
<i>Ixa monodi</i> Holthuis & Gottlieb, 1956	<i>Thalamita indistincta</i> Apel & Spiridonov, 1998
<i>Leptocheila aculeocaudata</i> Paul'son, 1875	<i>Thalamita poissonii</i> (Audouin, 1826)
<i>Leptocheila pugnax</i> De Man, 1916	<i>Thenus orientalis</i> Auctorum
<i>Libinia dubia</i> H. Milne Edwards, 1834	<i>Trachysalambria palaestinensis</i> (Steinitz, 1932)
<i>Lucifer hansenii</i> Nobili, 1905	<i>Urocaridella pulchella</i> Yokes & Galil, 2006
<i>Lysmata kempii</i> Chace, 1997	<i>Xanthias lamarckii</i> (H. Milne Edwards, 1834)
<i>Macrophthalmus graeffei</i> A. Milne Edwards, 1873	
<i>Matuta victor</i> (Fabricius, 1781)	STOMATOPODA
<i>Menaethius monoceros</i> (Latreille, 1825)	<i>Clorida albolitura</i> Ah Yong & Naiyanetr, 2000
	<i>Erugosquilla massavensis</i> (Kossmann, 1880)

comprise the largest number of the ‘widespread’ species. Attempts at predicting which species are more likely to be successfully introduced, and thus candidates for the keenest monitoring and surveillance, have shown that the most reliable indicator is past performance, i.e., widespread ‘exotic’ species are likely to disperse further.

The Suez Canal is the single most important pathway for introduction of ‘exotic’ decapod and stomatopod crustaceans in the Mediterranean, though the relative importance of pathway/ vectors varies among countries. Nearly all the ‘exotic’ species recorded along the coasts of the Levant are considered to have entered through the Suez Canal. Whereas vessel and culture-introduced ‘exotic’ species are more common in Italy, France and Spain.

Looking ahead

Updating metrics

Terminology

CIESM defined ‘exotic’ as a species ‘relative newcomer to the Mediterranean Sea’, one that had not been recorded in the Sea before the 1920s if it was considered to have been introduced through the Suez Canal, otherwise not recorded before the 1950s. The term ‘alien’ was reserved for ‘species that have only one or few reliable records with no evidence of self-sustaining populations’. Since 2002 the study of marine bioinvasions has thrived and spawned a profusion of specialized terms. A computerized search of scientific databases disclosed a surfeit of synonyms, partial synonyms and neologisms (Ochchipinti-Ambrogi and Galil 2004).

An harmonized terminology is desirable in order to facilitate communication. In this context, the definition set out in Annex 1 of the European Union Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) merits consideration (‘Non-indigenous species introduced by human activities’, Annex 1, the European Union Marine Strategy Framework Directive (EC 2008)). Cryptogenic species, *sensu* Carlton (1996), were excluded from our tally, but in preparing Table 1 we have expanded the temporal limits adopted in the ‘Atlas’ as of 1870 onward.

Taxonomic expansion

An updated version of the decapods and stomatopod crustacean ‘Atlas’ is in preparation and we hope it will be available on the CIESM website in

LEUCOSIIDAE
pebble crabs
Ixa monodi
Holthuis and Gottlieb, 1956



photo: Bella Galil

SHORT DESCRIPTION

Carapace transversely ovoid, prominently granulate, produced on either side into distally mammillate cylindrical processes. Front broadly bilobed, not projecting. Orbits deep, completely concealing eyes, outer margin tri-sutured. Posterior margin bearing two distinct submedian granular tubercles. Dorsal surface of carapace bearing two shallow, poorly defined longitudinal grooves, twice forked anteriorly. Chelipeds long, slender, fingers third as long as palm.

color : carapace pale orange-red, granules deeply colored, lateral processes orange-red, tips lighter colored. Chelipeds orange, fingers pale, walking legs pale orange.

common size : CL 3.5 cm.

DISTINGUISHING CHARACTERISTICS

Carapace transversely ovoid, produced laterally into cylindrical processes.

BIOLOGY / ECOLOGY

Poorly known.

habitat :

sandy-mud bottom, 14-60 m.

1st MEDITERRANEAN RECORD

Turkey, 1956 [1955].



DISTRIBUTION

Worldwide : the species was reported from the Red Sea by Monod (1938) as *Ixa cylindrus* (Holthuis and Gottlieb, 1956). **Mediterranean :** recorded first from the southern Turkey (Holthuis and Gottlieb, 1956 [1955]). Subsequently recorded from Israel (Golani *et al.*, 1983); Greece, Rhodes Isl., (Galil and Kevrekidis, 2002); Syria (Hasan *et al.*, 2008); Turkey (Aegean Sea): Saros Bay (Artüz, 2007) and Bay of Gokova (Ceyhan and Akçöl, 2008).

ESTABLISHMENT SUCCESS

Common along the Israeli coast.

speculated reasons for success :

-

MODE OF INTRODUCTION

Presumably via the Suez Canal.

IMPORTANCE TO HUMANS

None.

KEY REFERENCES

- Galil B.S. and Kevrekidis K., 2002. Exotic decapods and stomatopod off Rhodes Island (Greece) and the Eastern Mediterranean Transient. *Crustaceana*, 75(7): 925-930.
- Holthuis L.B. and E. Gottlieb, 1956. Two interesting crabs (Crustacea Decapoda, Brachyura) from Mersin Bay, SE Turkey. *Zoologische Mededelingen*, Leiden, 34: 287-299.

FEEDBACK / COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Figure 1. *Ixa monodi* Holthuis and Gottlieb, 1956. In: CIESM Atlas of Exotic Crustaceans in the Mediterranean Sea. <http://www.ciesm.org/atlas/Ixamonodi.php>

2015. But over 40 ‘exotic’ crustacean species, other than decapods and stomatopods, (including Copepoda, Cirripedia, Amphipoda, Isopoda, etc.) have been recorded in the Mediterranean Sea and should be added in a separate volume.

‘APP smart’: a touch screen window to the bioinvasion of the Mediterranean Sea

The CIESM Atlas early recognized the benefits of public knowledge and open participation. The digital draft edition of the crustacean Atlas was placed on the CIESM website eliciting comments from members of the CIESM community ahead of publication. The digital crustacean ‘Atlas’ edition proved immensely popular, with an average of 7400 visits annually. Increasingly affordable innovative information and communication technologies (e.g., widely used global positioning systems (GPS) and smartphone apps) encourage participatory science and provide access to large amounts of data such as online searchable databases. This data should be scrutinized and validated by taxonomists.

Balancing accessibility with scientific rigor, while using the statistical power provided by large amounts of data, is the challenge facing the CIESM Atlas. It is the only open source for data focusing exclusively on the bioinvasion of the Mediterranean Sea that provides also tools for the identification of the recorded species – a valued and irreplaceable resource.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the reviewers and the handling editor. Partial support for this research was provided by the European Community’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2011-2015). This research was partly supported by the European Community’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) for the project Towards COast to COast NETworks of marine protected areas (from the shore to the high and deep sea), coupled with sea-based wind energy potential (COCONET) (BSG).

References

Abelló P, Hispano C (2006) The capture of the Indo-Pacific crab *Charybdis feriata* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Brachyura: Portunidae) in the Mediterranean Sea. *Aquatic Invasions* 1: 13–16, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3391/ai.2006.1.1.4>

Ben Hadj Hamida-Ben Abdallah O, Ben Hadj Hamida N, Othman J, Froglià C (2009) First occurrence of the yellow roughneck shrimp, *Rimapenaeus similis* (Smith, 1885) (Crustacea: Decapoda: Penaeidae) in the Mediterranean Sea (Tunisian waters). *Biological Invasions* 12: 999–1001, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10530-009-9536-y>

Carlton JT (1996) Biological invasions and cryptogenic species. *Ecology* 77(6): 1653–1655, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2265767>

Catta JD (1876) Essai sur quelques crustacés erratiques. *Bibliothèque de l’École des hautes études, section des sciences naturelles* 14: 1–33

Colautti RI, Grigorovich IA, MacIsaac HJ (2006) Propagule pressure: a null model for biological invasions. *Biological Invasions* 8: 1023–1037, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10530-005-3735-y>

Darwin CR (1854) A monograph on the sub-class Cirripedia, with figures of all the species. The Balanidæ, (or sessile cirripedes); the Verrucidæ, etc. etc. London: The Ray Society, Volume 2

EC (2008) Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive). *Official Journal of the European Union* 164: 19–40

Fox HM (1924) The migration of a Red Sea crab through the Suez Canal. *Nature* (London) 113: 714–715, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/113714b0>

Froglià C, Deval MC (2012) First record of *Lysmata kemp* Chace, 1997 (*Hippolysmata Dentata* Kemp, 1914) (Caridea: Hippolytidae) in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea. In: “The Crustacean Society Summer Meeting (TCSSM)” 10th Colloquium Crustacean Decapoda Mediterranea (CCDM). June 3-7, 2012 Athens, Greece, p 87

Galil B, Froglià C, Noel P (2002) Crustaceans: decapods and stomatopods. In: Briand F (ed), CIESM Atlas of Exotic Species in the Mediterranean, CIESM Publishers, Monaco, 2: 192

GISIN (2014) Global Invasive Species Information Network. http://www.gisin.org/cwis438/Websites/GISINDirectory/DatabaseDirectory_Table.php (Accessed November 5, 2014)

Mizzan L, Vianello C (2009) First record of *Charybdis (Charybdis) lucifera* (Fabricius, 1798) (Crustacea, Decapoda, Portunidae) in the Mediterranean Sea. *Bollettino del Museo civico di Storia naturale di Venezia* 59 (2008) 2009: 27–30

Occhipinti-Ambrogi A, Galil BS (2004) A uniform terminology on bioinvasions: a chimera or an operative tool? *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 49: 688–694, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2004.08.011>

Oliverio M, Taviani M (2003) The Eastern Mediterranean Sea: tropical invasions and niche opportunities in a “Godot Basin”. *Biogeographia* 24: 313–327

Por FD (1978) Lessepsian migration – the influx of Red Sea Biota into the Mediterranean by way of the Suez Canal, vol 23, Ecological studies. Springer, Berlin

Rothman S, Shlagman A, Galil BS (2013) *Saron marmoratus* (Olivier, 1811), an Indo-Pacific marble shrimp (Hippolytidae, Decapoda, Crustacea) in the Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Biodiversity Records* 6: e129, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1755267213000997>

Tortonese E (1973) Facts and perspectives related to the spreading of Red Sea organisms into the eastern Mediterranean. *Annali del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale "Giacomo Doria"* 79: 322–329